

REMARKS

In response to the Final Office Action dated June 30, 2005, claims 1-14, 16, 18, and 21-26 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer, claims 15, 17, 19, and 20 have been amended. No new matter has been added. Reexamination and reconsideration of the claims as requested is respectfully requested.

In paragraph 3 on page 2 of the Final Office Action, claims 15, 17, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection, but has amended the application to overcome the objections. Claims 15, 17, 19, and 20 have been amended. It is believed that all claims comply with 35 U.S.C. § 112 second paragraph.

These changes under 35 U.S.C. § 112 second paragraph have been discussed with the examiner, who believed they put the case into condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION

In view of the amendments and reasons provided above, it is believed that all pending claims are in condition for allowance. The amendments clarify the patentable invention without adding new subject matter. Applicant respectfully requests favorable reconsideration and early allowance of all pending claims.


If a telephone conference would be helpful in resolving any issues concerning this communication, please contact Applicant's attorney of record, Michael B. Lasky at (952) 253-4106.

Respectfully submitted,

Altera Law Group, LLC
Customer No. 22865

Date: January 24, 2006

By:



Michael B. Lasky
Reg. No. 29,555
MBL/mej